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1 Vocabulary

- **Demand Paging** - The process where the operating system only stores pages that are "in demand" in the main memory and stores the rest in persistent storage (disk). Accesses to pages not currently in memory page fault and the page fault handler will retrieve the request page from disk (paged in). When main memory is full, then as new pages are paged in old pages must be paged out through a process called eviction. Many cache eviction algorithms like least recently used can be applied to demand paging, the main memory is acting as the cache for pages which all start on disk.

- **Working Set** - The subset of the address space that a process uses as it executes. Generally we can say that as the cache hit rate increases, more of the working set is being added to the cache.

- ** Resident Set Size** - The portion of memory occupied by a process that is held in main memory (RAM). The rest has been paged out onto disk through demand paging.

- **Thrashing** - Phenomenon that occurs when a computer’s virtual memory subsystem is constantly paging (exchanging data in memory for data on disk). This can lead to significant application slowdown.

- ** Inverted Page Table** - The inverted page table scheme uses a page table that contains an entry for each physical frame, not for each logical page. This ensures that the table occupies a fixed fraction of memory. The size is proportional to physical memory, not the virtual address space. The inverted page table is a global structure – there is only one in the entire system. It stores reverse mappings for all processes. Each entry in the inverted table contains has a tag containing the task id and the virtual address for each page. These mappings are usually stored in associative memory (remember fully associative caches from 61C?). Associatively addressed memory compares input search data (tag) against a table of stored data, and returns the address of matching data. They can also use actual hash maps.

- **Policy Misses** - The miss that occurs when pages were previously in memory but were selected to be paged out because of the replacement policy.

- ** Random** - Random: Pick a random page for every replacement. Unpredictable and hard to make any guarantees. TLBs are typically implemented with this policy.

- **FIFO** - First In, First Out: Selects the oldest page to be replaced. It is fair, but suboptimal because it throws out heavily used pages instead of infrequently used pages.

- **MIN** - Minimum: Replace the page that won’t be used for the longest time. Provably optimal. To approximate MIN, take advantage of the fact that the past is a good predictor of the future (see LRU).

- **LRU** - Least Recently Used: Replace the page which hasn’t been used for the longest time. An approximation of MIN. Not actually implemented in reality because it’s expensive; see Clock

- **Belady’s Anomaly** - The phenomenon in which increasing the number of page frames results in an increase in the number of page frames for a given memory access pattern. This is common for FIFO, Second Chance, and the random page replacement algorithm. For more information, check out http://nob.cs.ucdavis.edu/classes/ecs150-2008-02/handouts/memory/mm-belady.pdf
# Address Translation (7/20)

## 2.1 Page Allocation

Suppose that you have a system with 8-bit virtual memory addresses, 8 pages of virtual memory, and 4 pages of physical memory.

How large is each page? Assume memory is byte addressed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Memory Segment</th>
<th>Virtual Page Number</th>
<th>Physical Page Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>000</td>
<td>NULL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code Segment</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heap</td>
<td>010</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>011</td>
<td>NULL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NULL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>NULL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>NULL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stack</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What will the page table look like if the program runs the following function? Page out the least recently used page of memory if a page needs to be allocated when physical memory is full. Assume that the stack will never exceed one page of memory.

```c
#define PAGE_SIZE 1024;

void helper(void) {
    char *args[5];
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < 5; i++) {
        // Assume malloc allocates an entire page every time
        args[i] = (char*) malloc(PAGE_SIZE);
    }
    printf("%s", args[0]);
}
```

What happens when the system runs out of physical memory? What if the program tries to access an address that isn’t in physical memory? Describe what happens in the user program, the operating system, and the hardware in these situations.
2.2 Address Translation

Consider a machine with a physical memory of 8 GB, a page size of 8 KB, and a page table entry size of 4 bytes. How many levels of page tables would be required to map a 46-bit virtual address space if every page table fits into a single page?

List the fields of a Page Table Entry (PTE) in your scheme.

Without a cache or TLB, how many memory operations are required to read or write a single 32-bit word?
With a TLB, how many memory operations can this be reduced to? Best-case scenario? Worst-case scenario?

The pagemap is moved to main memory and accessed via a TLB. Each main memory access takes 50 ns and each TLB access takes 10 ns. Each virtual memory access involves:
- mapping VPN to PPN using TLB (10 ns)
- if TLB miss: mapping VPN to PPN using page map in main memory (50 ns)
- accessing main memory at appropriate physical address (50 ns)

Assuming no page faults (i.e. all virtual memory is resident) what TLB hit rate is required for an average virtual memory access time of 61ns?

Assuming a TLB hit rate of .50, how does the average virtual memory access time of this scenario compare to no TLB?
3  Paging

3.1  Demand Paging

An up-and-coming big data startup has just hired you to help design their new memory system for a byte-addressable system. Suppose the virtual and physical memory address space is 32 bits with a 4KB page size.

Suppose you know that there will only be 4 processes running at the same time, each with a Resident Set Size (RSS) of 512MB and a working set size of 256KB. What is the minimum amount of TLB entries that your system would need to support to be able to map/cache the working set size for one process? What happens if you have more entries? What about less?

Suppose you run some benchmarks on the system and you see that the system is utilizing over 99% of its paging disk IO capacity, but only 10% of its CPU. What is a combination of the of disk space and memory size that can cause this to occur? Assume you have TLB entries equal to the answer from the previous part.

Out of increasing the size of the TLB, adding more disk space, and adding more memory, which one would lead to the largest performance increase and why?
3.2 Cached Paging

Consider a machine with a page size of 1024 bytes. There are 8KB of physical memory and 8KB of virtual memory. The TLB is a fully associative cache with space for 4 entries that is currently empty. Assume that the physical page number is always one more than the virtual page number. This is a sequence of memory address accesses for a program we are writing: 0x294, 0xA76, 0x5A4, 0x923, 0xCFF, 0xA12, 0xF9F, 0x392, 0x341.

Here is the current state of the page table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valid Bit</th>
<th>Physical Page Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>NULL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>NULL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>NULL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explain what happens on a memory access.

How many TLB hits and page faults are there? What are the contents of the cache at the end of the sequence?
3.3 Inverted Page Tables

3.3.1 Inverted Page Table

Why IPTs? Consider the following case:
- 64-bit virtual address space
- 4 KB page size
- 512 MB physical memory

How much space (memory) needed for a single level page table? Hint: how many entries are there?
1 per virtual page. What is the size of a page table entry? access control bits + physical page #.

How about multi level page tables? Do they serve us any better here?
What is the number of levels needed to ensure that any page table requires only a single page (4 KB)?

3.3.2 Linear Inverted Page Table

What is the size of the hashtable? What is the runtime of finding a particular entry?
Assume the following:
- 16 bits for process ID
- 52 bit virtual page number (same as calculated above)
- 12 bits of access information
3.3.3 Hashed Inverted Page Table

What is the size of the hashtable? What is the runtime of finding a particular entry?
Assume the following:
- 16 bits for process ID
- 52 bit virtual page number (same as calculated above)
- 12 bits of access information
4 Page Replacement Algorithms

We will use this access pattern for the following section.

| Page | A | B | C | D | A | B | D | C | B | A |

4.1 FIFO

How many misses will you get with FIFO?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 LRU

How many misses will you get with LRU?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 MIN

How many misses will you get with MIN?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4 FIFO vs. LRU

LRU is an approximation of MIN, which is provably optimal. Why does FIFO still do better in this case?

4.5 Improving Cache Performance

If we increase the cache size, are we always guaranteed to get better cache performance?

4.5.1 FIFO

4.5.2 LRU

4.5.3 MIN