

University of California, Berkeley  
 College of Engineering  
 Computer Science Division – EECS

Spring 2017

Ion Stoica

**First Midterm Exam**

February 27, 2017

CS162 Operating Systems

<b>Your Name:</b>	
<b>SID AND 162 Login:</b>	
<b>TA Name:</b>	
<b>Discussion Section Time:</b>	

General Information:

This is a **closed book and one 2-sided handwritten note** examination. You have 80 minutes to answer as many questions as possible. The number in parentheses at the beginning of each question indicates the number of points for that question. You should read **all** of the questions before starting the exam, as some of the questions are substantially more time consuming.

Write all of your answers directly on this paper. *Make your answers as concise as possible.* If there is something in a question that you believe is open to interpretation, then please ask us about it!

**Good Luck!!**

<b>QUESTION</b>	<b>POINTS ASSIGNED</b>	<b>POINTS OBTAINED</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>18</b>	
<b>2</b>	<b>20</b>	
<b>3</b>	<b>22</b>	
<b>4</b>	<b>14</b>	
<b>5</b>	<b>16</b>	
<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>	

**P1** (18 points total) True/False and Why? **CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER.** For each question: 1 point for true/false correct, 2 point for explanation. An explanation cannot exceed 2 sentences.

- a) You can use a socket to communicate between two processes on the **same** machine.

TRUE

FALSE

Why?

- b) If you wanted to close one thread in a multithreaded process, the best choice would be to call `exit(0)`.

TRUE

FALSE

Why?

- c) Incrementing an integer value can always be performed atomically.

TRUE

FALSE

Why?

- d) Locks can be implemented by leveraging interrupts on single processor computers.

TRUE

FALSE

Why?

- e) Accessing a variable stored in a thread's individual stack is always thread-safe.

TRUE

FALSE

Why?

- f) Switching the order of two P() semaphore primitives can lead to deadlock (recall that sem.P() decrements semaphore value, "sem", and blocks if it is 0).

TRUE

FALSE

Why?



- c) (8 points) Assume the server needs to exit when receiving the string “quit”. Rewrite the `server()` code to implement this functionality.

**P3 (22 points) Producer/Consumer:** Consider the following code that implements a synchronized unbounded queue using monitors that we went over in lecture:

```
1.  Lock lock;
2.  Condition dataready;
3.  Queue queue;

4.  AddToQueue(item) {
5.      lock.Acquire(); // Get Lock
6.      queue.enqueue(item); // Add item
7.      dataready.signal(); // Signal any waiters
8.      lock.Release(); // Release Lock
9.  }

10. RemoveFromQueue() {
11.     lock.Acquire(); // Get Lock
12.     while (queue.isEmpty()) {
13.         dataready.wait(&lock); // If nothing, sleep
14.     }
15.     item = queue.dequeue(); // Get next item
16.     lock.Release(); // Release Lock
17.     return(item);
18. }
```

Please answer the following questions.

- a) (6 points) Assume that we have multiple producers running `AddToQueue()` and multiple consumers running `RemoveFromQueue()`. Do you need to make any changes to the code? If yes, specify the changes in the above code by indicating the line you need to modify, the line #'s between which you need to add new code, or the line # you need to delete. If not, use no more than two sentences to explain why.

- b) (10 points) Change the code to implement a bounded queue, i.e., make sure that the producer cannot write when the queue is full. Add your changes in the empty space of the code below.

```
Lock lock;  
Condition dataready;  
Queue queue;
```

```
AddToQueue(item) {  
    lock.Acquire(); // Get Lock
```

```
    queue.enqueue(item); // Add item  
    dataready.signal(); // Signal any waiters  
    lock.Release(); // Release Lock  
}
```

```
RemoveFromQueue() {  
    lock.Acquire(); // Get Lock  
    while (queue.isEmpty()) {  
        dataready.wait(&lock); // If nothing, sleep  
    }  
    item = queue.dequeue(); // Get next item
```

```
    lock.Release(); // Release Lock  
    return(item);  
}
```

- c) (6 points) Implement a new function, `ReadFromQueue()`, which uses the function `item = queue.read()` to read an item from the queue without removing it.

**P4 (14 points total) CPU Scheduling:** Consider the following **single-threaded** processes, and their arrival times, CPU bursts and their priorities (a process with a higher priority number has priority over a process with lower priority number):

Process	CPU burst	Arrives	Priority
A	4	1	1
B	1	2	2
C	2	4	4
D	3	5	3

Please note:

- Priority scheduler is preemptive.
- Newly arrived processes are scheduled last for RR. When the RR quanta expires, the currently running thread is added at the end of to the ready list before any newly arriving threads.
- Break ties via priority in Shortest Remaining Time First (SRTF).
- If a process arrives at time  $x$ , they are ready to run at the beginning of time  $x$ .
- Ignore context switching overhead.
- The quanta for RR is 1 unit of time.
- Total turnaround time is the time a process takes to complete after it arrives.

Given the above information please fill in the following table.

Time	FIFO/FCFS	Round Robin	SRTF	Priority
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
Total Turnaround Time				

**P5 (16 points) Synchronization:** Next Saturday is the international day of Poker. As the owner of the largest poker website worldwide you expect a large number of games being played (and finishing) at any point in time in your website. Consider that players can play more than one game at a time and any two players can play against each other in more than one game simultaneously. For simplicity, we consider each game has exactly **two** players.

The backend system of your poker website contains the following multi-threaded code.

```
queue    games_finished_queue;
lock_t   games_finished_lock;
semaphore games_to_process_sem;

typedef struct Game {
    ....
} Game;

typedef struct Player {
    lock_t lock;
    uint64_t n_chips;
    uint64_t unique_id;
} Player;

void finish_game(Game* game) {
    lock_acquire(&games_finished_lock);
    enqueue(&games_finished_queue, game);
    lock_release(&games_finished_lock);
    sema_up(&games_to_process_sem);
}

void process_finished_games() {
    lock_acquire(&games_finished_lock);
    sema_down(&games_to_process_sem);
    Game* g = pop_queue_front(&games_finished_queue);
    move_chips(g->player1, g->player2, g->n_chips);
    lock_release(&games_finished_lock);
}

void move_chips(Player* player1, Player* player2, uint64_t n_chips) {
    lock_acquire(&player1->lock);
    lock_acquire(&player2->lock);

    player1->n_chips -= n_chips;
    player2->n_chips += n_chips;

    lock_release(&player2->lock);
    lock_release(&player1->lock);
}
```

- a) (6 points) Identify two places in the code where deadlock can occur. If deadlock occurs, use no more than two sentences to explain why it occurs.

- b) (10 points) Use the space bellow to change `process_finished_games()` and `move_chips()` (or copy if correct) to ensure no deadlocks can occur. Explain succinctly why no deadlock can occur with the newly modified code. Note: a single lock at the beginning and end of `move_chips` is not an accepted solution.

```
void process_finished_games() {  
  
    Game* g = pop_queue_front(games_finished_queue);  
    move_chips(g->player1, g->player2, g->n_chips);  
  
}  
  
void move_chips(Player* player1, Player* player2, uint64_t n_chips) {  
  
    player1->n_chips -= n_chips;  
    player2->n_chips += n_chips;  
  
}
```

**P6.** (10 points) **Syscalls:** Please answer the following questions.

- a) (4 points) **Syscall dispatch.** Suppose there is a function “`foo()`” in kernel memory at address `0xA000` that requires full privileges to run. The kernel would like to allow userspace threads to use this function. How can the user thread cause `foo()` to run? For now, we assume that `foo()` takes no arguments and has no return value. (HINT: x86 provides an instruction “`INT N`” that sends interrupt #`N` to the CPU where `N` is between 0-255.)
- b) (4 points) **Syscall execution.** Suppose instead of just one function, we wanted to support an arbitrary number of system calls (potentially even thousands). Would your approach in part 1 still work? If not, what changes would you need to make?
- c) (3 points) **Pintos Kernel Stack.** In Pintos, would `foo()` use the user’s stack? If not, where does it keep its stack?